IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

C.W.P. No.13558 of 2005
Date of Decision: 28.5.2007

...

Jagir Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others

...

Present : Mr.Jagjit Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.S.S. Kharb, AAG, Haryana for respondents no.1 and 2.
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for respondent no.3.

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA

****

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgement ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgement should be reported in the Digest ?

****

P. SATHASIVAM, J

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as respondent no.3. On going through the order of the Financial Commissioner dated 12.1.2005, we are unable to accept the contention of counsel for the petitioner. On the other hand, in view of the infirmity in the order of the Collector and Commissioner, as noticed in the impugned order by the Financial Commissioner, we are in entire agreement with the conclusion arrived at by the Financial Commissioner effecting due proclamation in the village by beat of drums. Even for inviting applications afresh from all eligible candidates for the appointment of Lambardar, we

do not find any infirmity or procedural error.

Taking note of the fact that the petitioner has filed writ petition challenging the said order, and in the writ petition, this Court issued notice of motion and the petitioner has not made application pursuant to the order of remand dated 12.1.2005, he is permitted to make an application within a period of two weeks from today and it is for the appointing authority to consider the same, if he is otherwise eligible to be considered for the post of Lambardar.

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

(P. SATHASIVAM)
JUDGE

28.5.2007
GS

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE